Approved For Release 2004/09/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000100190068-1

-21 Mr. 1371

Normon

New Left's Enemy From

"I made a decision about a year ago to fight this thing. I took a three-month leave, I hadn't really had a vacation in all the 10 years I had been cliting Commentary. I wont away to the country all by myself. A lot of things came to-gether. I came out with a much clearer idea, if you will forgive my pomposity, of what my duty was—to tell the truth, as I saw it. This decision involved me in a lot of unpleasantness, public and private. Your whole personal map has to be redrawn. The only way I could do this was to do it as my duty. You have to be loyal to that duty."

-: By Thomas Grubisich

NEW YORK-Norman Podhoretz, the editor of one of the most influenfial intellectual journals in the country, the publisher of radical critics and writers like Paul Goodman, Edgar Z. Friedenberg, Staughton Lynd and Norman Mailer before they had won a cachet or when they were in disrepute, was telling why he decided to make total war on the New Left, the Movement, the counter-culture-in effect, many of the radical social and political impulses Podhoretz, as Commentary's editor, had cultivated in the early 1960s.

In recent issues of the magazine, Podhoretz and his well-armed firingline of contributors have accusud the New Loft and other radicals of philisthism, anti-intellectual aggression, nihilism, historical reductionism, revolution, neo- and proto-fascism, authoritarianism, crisic-mongering and, alas, bad manners.

has been plain and simple anti-Ameri- ment on the New Left, he is canism. It is no coincidence that most surely correct when he says of the charges have been hurled at that how intellectuals stand contributors to another intellectual on this issue will gain or journal, one street up in midtown Manhattan, the New York Review of Books, Commentary and the New York Review share many readers and truth, "as I saw it," before, even some contributors, but Poditore's It impelled him, at the age had made up his mind. As the medium of 23, nearly 20 years ago, to for so many debased, false and date write a review of Baul Bolgerous ideas, he said, NTR was guilty low's 'The Adventures of trahisons . . . against the defining Augis March' accusing the values of the intellec Approved For Release 2004/09/28 CCIA-RDP88-013-12R00610019068-1 bration of the American way

tastelessness." But not even

Commentary and the New York Review, purely in terms of circulation, are small journals. Commentary, with 60,000 circulation, and NYR, with 100,000, don't have as many renders in all the country as some messection. lation magazines (like Time or Newsweek) have in one major city, But, as the people in the media offices ray, it is the demographics that matter, Thousands of intellectuals-and intellectual-watchers-read one or both jour-

nala. And both are popular at those universities-the Columbias, the Harvards, the Berkeleys--which have been the stages for the ferment that has been acted out-or at least argued out -on American campuses.

Chairman of humanities and socialistismice departments in major colleges and universities, in a recent poll, named NYR and Coramontary as two of the four jour-nels they read most regularly. (The other two, Partisan Review and Dissent, have fewer readers than there are angels that can dence on a head of a pin.)

The chief significance of NYR and Commentary is not that they are preferred by college department heads to Time, or Newsweek, They me significant because they are a profity reliable selection graph, recording all the squiggles on the graph, all the ups and downs, as intellectuals react to the New Left and all its various subsidiar: The most serious accusation, though right or wrong in his judgeration to come,

Podhoretz Kas been backoned by his duty to tell the

. This assessment sharply against the opinions of the prestigious circle of New York intellectuals, who had adopted Podhoretz into their group, giving him early literary eminence. (Sometime later, as he recalled in his book "Making It," he was accosted by a drunken well-known poet. and admirer of Bellow, "We'll get you for this if it takes 10 years.")

Socialon Commentary

- New York Review of Books Partisa a Review

- Dissent

Originider Grubisiah

His duty also impelled Podhoretz to write an impressive, sympathetic and essentially affirmative essay on Norman Mailer in 1959, when Mailer was being called a burned-out talent.

~ In 1963, determined to become "the man I now have a Muty 'to be," Pedhoretz wrote an essay called "My Negro Problem—And Ours." In it he spoke of his residual "hatred" for Negroes, a leg-zey of some painful racial experiences from his childhood in the Brownsville neighborhood of Brooklyn. So "twisted and sick" were white Americans in their attitudes toward blacks, he wrote, that only a melding. of the races through intermarringe, not integration, would give blacks their rightful equality. Podhoretzreceived many cheers for his "courage," but just as often he was accused of racism and of confusing his own, admittedly "twisted" feelings for other, less crippling white attitudes.

🏅 Podhoretz' most audacious response to the summons of his duty was his confessional "Making It," published in 1987. In it he told of his discovery, at the age of 35, that "success was better than failure . . . was better to be rich than poor . . . it was better to give orders than to take them . . . it was better to be recognized than to be knonymous." Anticipating Anticipating

tastelessness." But not even of life.